

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/686,823	10/16/2003	Bruce G. Barman	109771.0238	109771.0238 8481	
37287	7590 04/04/2006		EXAMINER		
ROETZEL & ANDRESS 1375 EAST 9TH STREET CLEVELAND, OH 44114			SINGH, SUNIL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3673	3673	
			DATE MAIN ED AMAINAGE		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u></u>			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/686,823	BARMAN, BRUCE G.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Sunil Singh	3673	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5) □ 6) □ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati 9) ☑ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-3,5-22,24-26,28-39,46,47 and 49-53 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 1-3,5,7-19,21,26,28-39,46,47 and 49- Claim(s) 6,20,22,24 and 25 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. 55 is/are allowed. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan is required in t	Examiner. e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/686,823 Page 2

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 10, "topper 600" should be –topper 700". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification fails to disclose a foam component which extends from a panel of the foam deck and engages with the innerspring; therefore, one skilled in the art cannot make and/or use the invention as claimed.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 "the pad" lacks clear antecedent basis.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/686,823

Art Unit: 3673

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 22,24,25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Langer et al. in view of Young et al. or Koenigsberg (US 906893, 3010122). Langer et al. discloses a mattress comprising an innerspring having a plurality of spring elements arranged in an array and defining a first support side, a second support side parallel to the first support side, and a perimeter about the first and second support sides; a foam deck adjacent one of the support sides of the innerspring, and a foam encasement about the innerspring and in contact with the foam deck (see disclosure). Langer et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Langer et al. lack a foam deck with parallel and spaced apart panels with a web structure between the panels. Young et al. and Koenigsberg both teach a deck with parallel and spaced apart panels with a web structure between the panels (see Figures). It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the foam deck of Langer et al. by providing it with parallel and spaced apart panels with a web structure between the panels as taught by either Young et al or Koenigsberg in order to increase the strength of the deck.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,823

Art Unit: 3673

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 11/16/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With regards to claim 22, applicant argues that the prior fail to teach "the foam encasement extending into the web structure of the foam deck". However, such argument is far more limiting than the claimed subject matter (re claim 22). Applicant argues that neither Young nor Koenigsberg teach a deck with parallel and spaced apart panels with a web structure between the panels. The examiner disagrees. Young et al. and Koenigsberg both teach a deck with parallel and spaced apart panels with a web structure between the panels (see Figures).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 1-3,5,7-19,21,26,28-39,46-47,49-55 are allowed.
- 10. Claim 6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/686,823

Art Unit: 3673

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 10:30 AM - 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Engle Patricia can be reached on (571) 272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sunil Singh
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3673

Page 5